Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

Intermolecular interactions in the chiral and racemic forms of 3-hydroxy-2-(1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl) butanoic acid derived from threonine

John F. Gallagher,* Fiona Brady and Carol Murphy

School of Chemical Sciences, Dublin City University, Dublin 9, Ireland Correspondence e-mail: gallagherjfg@dcu.ie

Received 7 October 1999 Accepted 1 December 1999

The title compounds, $C_{12}H_{13}NO_4$, are derived from Lthreonine and DL-threonine, respectively. Hydrogen bonding in the chiral derivative, $(2S/3R)$ -3-hydroxy-2-(1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)butanoic acid, consists of $O-H_{acid}...O_{alkvl}$ $H \cdots O=C_{indole}$ chains $[O \cdots O \ 2.659 \ (3)$ and 2.718 (3) \AA], $Csp^3-H\cdots O$ and three $C-H\cdots \pi_{\text{arene}}$ interactions. In the (2R,3S/2S,3R) racemate, conventional carboxylic acid hydrogen bonding as cyclical $(O-H \cdots O=C)$ ₂ [graph set $R_2^2(8)$] is present, with O_{alkyl} – H···O=C_{indole}, Csp³ – H···O and C $-H \cdot \cdot \pi_{\text{arene}}$ interactions. The COOH group geometry differs between the two forms, with C –O, C – C – O , C – C – O and $C-C=O$ bond lengths and angles of 1.322 (3) and 1.193 (3) A, and 109.7 (2) and 125.4 (3)°, respectively, in the chiral structure, and $1.2961 (17)$ and $1.2210 (18)$ Å, and 113.29 (12) and 122.63 (13) $^{\circ}$, respectively, in the racemate structure. The O $-C = O$ angles of 124.9 (3) and 124.05 (14)^o are similar. The differences arise from the contrasting COOH hydrogen-bonding environments in the two structures.

Comment

The study of biologically active molecules is of primary importance in medicinal chemistry. Many inhibitors are based on modified amino acids which incorporate the basic structural features determining normal enzyme-substrate interactions. Phthalimidine (isoindolin-1-one) derivatives often display biological activity as potential anti-inflammatory agents and antipsychotics (Norman et al., 1993; Allin et al., 1996). The majority of structurally determined phthalimidine

Figure 1

A view of (I) with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.

systems are either N-substituted or substituted at the 3-position (McNab et al., 1997; Kundu et al., 1999). Threonine and its derivatives have attracted considerable interest, not least due to the alkyl hydroxy group which can participate in binding, in intermolecular interactions and as a linking group in proteins. The title compounds, (2S/3R)-3-hydroxy-2-(1-oxoisoindolin-2 yl)butanoic acid, (I), and $(2R,3S/2S,3R)$ -3-hydroxy-2-(1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)butanoic acid, (II), synthesized from the chiral (L) and racemic (DL) forms of threonine, respectively, constitute part of a study of the hydrogen-bonding interactions and anion recognition properties of synthetic amino acid derivatives (Brady et al., 1998; Dalton et al., 1999; Gallagher & Murphy, 1999; Gallagher et al., 1999).

A view of molecule (I) (*SR* configuration) is shown in Fig. 1 and selected dimensions are given in Table 1. Molecule (II) is depicted similarly in Fig. 2, with selected dimensions in Table 3. The bond lengths and angles in the isoindoline group of both structures are similar to those reported previously (McNab et al., 1997; Kundu et al., 1999) and are in agreement with expected values (Orpen et al., 1994). The angles between the five- and six-membered rings of the isoindoline systems are 0.66 (18) \degree in (I) and 1.13 (11) \degree in (II), and the maximum deviation from planarity for an atom in either ring plane is 0.0179 (17) Å for N1 in (I) and 0.0168 (9) Å for N1 in (II), with the carbonyl O3 atom 0.071 (4) \AA from the C₄N ring plane in (I) and 0.061 (2) \AA in (II). The carboxylic acid CCO₂ plane is almost perpendicular to the C_4N ring plane

Figure 2

A view of (II) with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.

[72.30 (11) \degree in (I) and 66.40 (6) \degree in (II)] and to the C12/C11/ O4 (H₃CCOH) plane [76.5 (2)^o in (I) and 64.50 (8)^o in (II)].

There are distinct differences in the carboxylic acid bond lengths and angles of (I) and (II) . The C $-O$ and C $=$ O bond lengths are 1.322 (3) and 1.193 (3) \AA in (I), and 1.2961 (17) and 1.2210 (18) Å in (II), respectively. The O $-C-C2$ and O $-C-C2$ angles are 109.7 (2) and 125.4 (3)^o in (I), differing considerably from 113.29 (12) and 122.63 (13) $^{\circ}$ in (II). However, the $O = C - O$ bond angles are similar, at 124.9 (3) and 124.05 (14)°, respectively. This suggests that the differences may be influenced by their different hydrogen-bonding environments (Tables 2 and 4), resulting in a twist in the COOH groups of ca 3° . The carboxylic group geometry in (I) is similar to that reported in a DL-phenylalanine derivative, (III) (Brady et al., 1998) and in a meta-tyrosine derivative, (IV) (Gallagher & Murphy, 1999). The $C-O$ and $C=O$ bond lengths are 1.314 (2) and 1.194 (2), and 1.328 (2), 1.196 (2) A, in (III) and (IV), respectively, with $O-C=O$ angles of 124.00 (18) and 124.3 (3) \degree in (III) and (IV), respectively. The $O - C - C2$ and $O = C - C2$ angles in (III) and (IV) are intermediate between the values in (I) and (II), at 112.05 (16) and 123.95 (18) \degree for (III), and 110.17 (18) and 125.55 (19) \degree for (IV) ; these values for (IV) are close to those for (I) above.

The indole C= O and hydroxy $Csp^3 - O$ bond lengths of 1.232 (3) and 1.427 (3) Å, and 1.2350 (17) and 1.4187 (17) Å are similar in (I) and (II), respectively, [1.239 (2) and 1.236 (2) \AA for the indole C=O bond lengths in (III) and (IV), respectively]. However, the $O4 - Cl1 - Cl2$ and $Cl C2 - C11$ angles differ notably, with values of 110.5 (2) and 112.7 (2)^{\circ} in (I), and 105.52 (11) and 110.14 (11)^{\circ} in (II), and this is also indicative of dissimilar hydrogen-bonding environments. Torsion angle differences are evident, with $N1$ –

The hydrogen-bonding arrangements are maximized in both structures and related to those in (III) and (IV) (Brady et al., 1998; Gallagher & Murphy, 1999). The hydrogen bonding in (I) and (II) is dominated by $O-H\cdots O$, $C-H\cdots O$ and $C-\cdots O$ $H_{\text{...}}$ π_{arene} interactions (Tables 2 and 4, Figs. 3 and 4). The primary hydrogen bonding in (I) involves $O_{\text{acid}}-H\cdots O_{\text{alkvl}} H \cdots O=C_{isondole}$ chains $[O \cdots O 2.659 (3)$ and 2.718 (3) \AA], similar to the primary hydrogen-bonded chain in the *meta*tyrosine structure (Gallagher & Murphy, 1999), where the O \cdot O distances are 2.668 (2) and 2.653 (2) Å. The O $H^i \cdot O - H \cdot O = C^{ii}$ chain in (I) forms a one-dimensional network in the a axis direction, with hydrogen-bonded rings [graph set $R_3^3(15)$] consisting of one alkyl OH and two acid OH groups as donors and an indole $O = C$ and two alkyl OH groups as acceptors between three molecules [symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2} + x$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, 2 - z; (ii) 1 + x, y, z]. The C10- $H10A\cdots O3^{ii}$ hydrogen bond [C \cdots O 3.513 (4) A] further generates a hydrogen-bonded ring system [graph set $R_1^2(8)$], with an alkyl OH and a $Csp^3 - H$ as donors and the indole $O = C$ as an acceptor along the *a* axis direction $[H4 \cdots O3^{ii} \cdots H10A 66^{\circ}]$. The carboxylic acid O atom O2 only forms a weak C–H \cdots O contact in (I). The C–H \cdots π _{arene} interactions complete the intermolecular interactions, forming a three-dimensional network in the crystal structure of (I) with two $(C11-H11/C12-H12C)\cdots\pi_{\text{indole}}$ contacts participating in a relay of $C-H \cdots \pi_{\text{arene}}$ interactions.

Compound (II) shows some interesting differences from (I). Classical COOH hydrogen bonding arises [to form dimers; graph set $R_2^2(8)$] about inversion centres as cyclical O-

Figure 3 A view of the intermolecular interactions in (I); symmetry codes as given in Table 2.

Figure 4 A view of the intermolecular interactions in (II); symmetry codes as given in Table 4.

 $\theta_{\rm max}=25^\circ$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 7$ $k = -14 \to 14$ $l = -17 \rightarrow 17$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 120 min intensity variation: <1%

 $H \cdot \cdot O = C$ hydrogen bonds involving both O1 and O2 (Ferguson *et al.*, 1995). The alkyl hydroxy group $O(4)$ $H \cdot \cdot O = C3$ links these dimers to form a two-dimensional network, as depicted in Fig. 4. Weaker $C-H \cdots O=C_{indole}$ and $Csp^3 - H \cdot \cdot \pi_{\text{arene}}$ interactions complete the hydrogen bonding, thus forming a three-dimensional network. The contrast in the carboxylic acid geometry between (I) and (II) can be explained by the dissimilar participation of O2 in the hydrogen bonding. The primary COOH hydrogen bonding in (II) [graph set $R_2^2(8)$] differs from that reported in the DLphenylalanine structure (III), where pairwise intermolecular $O_{\text{acid}}-H\cdots O_{\text{indole}}$ and $C_{\text{arene}}-H\cdots O_{\text{carboxylate}}$ interactions form a hydrogen-bonded ring [graph set $R_2^{\;2}(\stackrel{?}{9})$], and from that in the structures of (I) and DL -meta-tyrosine (IV) , which contain $O_{\text{acid}} - H \cdot \cdot \cdot O - H \cdot \cdot \cdot O = C_{\text{indole}}$ chains.

The volumes per atom in (I) and (II) differ, with a value of 16.51 \AA^3 per non-H atom for (I) and 17.04 \AA^3 for (II), reflecting differing packing considerations and the extra interactions present in (I). Examination of the structures with PLATON (Spek, 1998) shows that there are no solvent accessible voids in either crystal lattice.

Crystal engineering studies continue to rely on stronger hydrogen bonds for the design and synthesis of three-dimensional structures (Aakeröy et al., 1999). However, a thorough understanding of the control and exploitation of X — $H \cdots \pi_{\text{arene}}$ interactions (X = C, N or O) remains an elusive goal (Braga et al., 1998). Theoretical calculations on $C H \cdot \cdot \pi_{\text{arene}}$ interactions have been reported in several organic systems, including an estimation of the binding energy between the C $-H$ donor and the aromatic π cloud (Samanta et al., 1998), as well as database studies (Malone et al., 1997). The role of such interactions in biological structures has also been detailed by Umezawa & Nishio (1998). However, in (I) and (II), the primary hydrogen bonding is considered prior to analysis of the weaker interactions. The stronger hydrogen bonds form a primary array which is linked into networks by the weaker interactions in both structures. Further comparative studies are in progress on related phthalimidines.

Experimental

Compound (I) was prepared by the overnight reaction of L -threonine and o-phthalaldehyde in refluxing CH₃CN under N₂ (Allin et al., 1996). Filtration of the hot solution and subsequent slow cooling of the filtrate allowed the isolation of colourless plates of (I) (m.p. 458 $-$ 460 K, uncorrected). Spectroscopic analysis, IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): (ν_{OH}) 3256, ($v_{\rm C=O}$) 1748, 1656; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, δ , d_{6} -DMSO): 1.07 (d, 3H, CH₃), 4.46 (m, 1H, CH), 4.69 (s, 2H, CH₂), 4.80 (d, 1H, CH), 5.29 (br s, 1H, O-H), 7.46-7.52, 7.61-7.66, 7.71-7.73 (m, 4H, C_6H_4). Compound (II) was prepared as detailed for (I) above, using DL threonine as the starting material, and colourless blocks of (II) were obtained from solution (m.p. 424–427 K, uncorrected). Spectroscopic analysis, IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): (v_{OH}) 3234, ($v_{C=O}$) 1759, 1644; ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \delta, d_6\text{-DMSO}): 1.07 \ (m, 3H, CH_3), 4.45 \ (m, 1H, CH), 4.68 \ (s,$ 2H, CH₂), 4.73 (d, 1H, CH), 5.31 (br s, 1H, O-H), 7.48-7.52, 7.60-7.66, 7.71–7.73 $(m, 4H, C_6H_4)$.

Crystal data

 $C_{12}H_{13}NO_4$ $M_r = 235.23$ Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$ $a = 6.2209(6)$ Å $b = 11.9726(13)$ Å $c = 15.0705(12)$ Å $V = 1122.5$ (2) \AA^3 $Z = 4$ $D_x = 1.392$ Mg m⁻³ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 9.65 - 19.61^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.105$ mm^{-1} $T = 294(1)$ K Plate, colourless $0.32 \times 0.14 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Enraf±Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer $\omega/2\theta$ scans 3932 measured reflections 1967 independent reflections 1441 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.020$

Refinement

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (\mathring{A}, \degree) for (I).

Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (\AA, \degree) for (I).

Racemic (II)

Crystal data

 $C_{12}H_{13}NO_4$ $M_r = 235.23$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ $a = 5.9772(7)$ Å $b = 14.3906(12)$ Å $c = 13.4926$ (16) Å $\beta = 93.131(7)$ ° $V = 1158.8$ (2) \AA^3 $Z = 4$

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer ω /20 scans 2242 measured reflections 2155 independent reflections 1623 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int}=0.008$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$ $wR(F^2) = 0.086$ $S = 1.045$ 2155 reflections 158 parameters H atoms constrained

 $D_x = 1.348$ Mg m⁻³ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 9.65 - 18.34^{\circ}$ μ = 0.102 mm^{-1} $T = 294(1) K$ Block, colourless $0.39 \times 0.35 \times 0.21$ mm

 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.5^{\circ}$ $h = -7 \rightarrow 7$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 17$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 16$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 120 min intensity variation: $< 0.5\%$

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2 (F_o^2) + (0.0378P)^2]$ $+ 0.2183P$] where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max}=0.001$ -3 $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.16 \text{ e A}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.12$ e ${\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997) Extinction coefficient: 0.044 (3)

Table 3

Selected geometric parameters (\mathring{A}, \circ) for (II).

$O1 - C1$	1.2961(17)	$N1 - C10$	1.4669(18)
$O2 - C1$	1.2210(18)	$C1 - C2$	1.514(2)
$O3-C3$	1.2350(17)	$C2 - C11$	1.535(2)
$O4 - C11$	1.4187(17)	$C3-C4$	1.473(2)
$N1 - C2$	1.4481(18)	$C9 - C10$	1.495(2)
$N1 - C3$	1.3552(17)	$C11 - C12$	1.508(2)
$C2-N1-C3$	122.08(12)	$O3 - C3 - N1$	124.45(14)
$C2 - N1 - C10$	125.06(11)	$O3 - C3 - C4$	128.80 (13)
$C3-N1-C10$	112.83(12)	$N1 - C3 - C4$	106.75(12)
$O1 - C1 - O2$	124.05(14)	$C4 - C9 - C10$	109.57(12)
$O1 - C1 - C2$	113.29(12)	$C8-C9-C10$	129.88 (14)
$O2 - C1 - C2$	122.63(13)	$N1 - C10 - C9$	102.16(11)
$N1 - C2 - C1$	111.67(11)	$O4 - C11 - C2$	105.52(11)
$N1 - C2 - C11$	113.71(12)	$O4 - C11 - C12$	112.15(13)
$C1 - C2 - C11$	110.14(11)	$C2 - C11 - C12$	112.84(12)
$C3-N1-C2-C1$	$-112.29(14)$	$N1 - C2 - C11 - O4$	66.92(15)
$O1 - C1 - C2 - N1$	178.16(13)	$C1 - C2 - C11 - O4$	$-59.28(15)$

Table 4

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (\AA, \circ) for (II).

$D - H \cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$O1 - H1 \cdots O2^1$	0.82	1.82	2.6355(16)	175
$O4 - H4 \cdots O3$ ⁱⁱ	0.82	1.94	2.7423(15)	166
$C10 - H10B \cdots O3$ ⁱⁱⁱ	0.97	2.48	3.3140(17)	144
$C11 - H11 \cdots Cg2$ ^{iv}	0.98	2.70	3.6440(16)	161
Symmetry $(iv) \frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z.$			codes: (i) $1-x$, $-y$, $1-z$; (ii) $x-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+z$; (iii) $x-1, y, z$;	

For both forms, all H atoms bound to C were treated as riding, with the SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997) defaults for $C-H$ distances and with $U_{\text{iso}}(H) = 1.5U_{\text{eq}}(C)$ for methyl H atoms and $1.2U_{\text{eq}}(C)$ for others. For (I) , $H(-O)$ atoms were refined with isotropic displacement parameters, while for (II) , $H(-O)$ atoms were located from difference Fourier maps in the penultimate stages of refinement and subsequently treated as rigid rotating groups with $U_{\text{iso}}(H)$ = $1.5U_{eq}(O)$.

For both compounds, data collection: CAD-4-PC Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1992); cell refinement: CAD-4-PC Software; data reduction: NRCVAX96 (Gabe et al., 1989); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: NRCVAX96 and SHELXL97; molecular graphics: ORTEPIII (Burnett & Johnson, 1996), ORTEX (McArdle, 1995) and PLATON (Spek, 1998); software used to prepare material for publication: NRCVAX96, SHELXL97 and PREP8 (Ferguson, 1998).

JFG thanks the Research and Postgraduate Committee of Dublin City University, the Royal Irish Academy and Forbairt for funding research visits to the University of Guelph (1995– 1998), and especially Professor George Ferguson for the use of his diffractometer and computer system.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BM1380). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Aakeröy, C. B., Beatty, A. M. & Leinen, D. S. (1999). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 38, 1815-1819.
- Allin, S. M., Hodkinson, C. C. & Taj, N. (1996). Synlett, pp. 781-782.
- Brady, F., Gallagher, J. F. & Kenny, P. T. M. (1998). Acta Cryst. C54, 1523-1525.
- Braga, D., Grepioni, F. & Tedesco, E. (1998). Organometallics, 17, 2669-2672. Burnett, M. N. & Johnson, C. K. (1996). ORTEPIII. Report ORNL-6895. Oak
- Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA. Dalton, J. P., Gallagher, J. F., Kenny, P. T. M. & O'Donohoe, M. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 126-129.
- Enraf-Nonius (1992). CAD-4-PC Software. Version 1.1. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Ferguson, G. (1998). PREP8. University of Guelph, Canada.
- Ferguson, G., Gallagher, J. F. & McAlees, A. J. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 454-458.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Gabe, E. J., Le Page, Y., Charland, J.-P., Lee, F. L. & White, P. S. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 384-387.
- Gallagher, J. F., Kenny, P. T. M. & Sheehy, M. J. (1999). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2, 200-202, 327-330.
- Gallagher, J. F. & Murphy, C. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 2167-2169.
- Kundu, N. G., Khan, M. W., Guha, S. & Mukherjee, A. K. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 239-241.
- McArdle, P. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 65-65.
- McNab, H., Parsons, S. & Shannon, D. A. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 1098-1099. Malone, J. F., Murray, C. M., Charlton, M. H., Docherty, R. & Lavery, A. J.
- (1997). J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. pp. 3429-3436.
- Norman, M. H., Kelley, J. L. & Hollingsworth, E. B. (1993). J. Med. Chem. 36, 3417-3423.
- Orpen, A. G., Brammer, L., Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G. & Taylor, R. (1994). Structure Correlation, Vol. 2, edited by H.-B. Bürgi & J. D. Dunitz, Appendix A. Weinheim: VCH.
- Samanta, U., Chakrabarti, P. & Chandrasekhar, J. (1998). J. Phys. Chem. A, 102.8964-8969.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97 and SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Spek, A. L. (1998). PLATON. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands. Umezawa, Y. & Nishio, M. (1998). Bioorg. Med. Chem. 6, 493-504.